

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	R	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/157,	195 12/0	8793 HENCO	-	K P965024080

18M2/0203 T

**EXAMINER** TRAN, P

WILLIAM E. PLAYER JACOBSON, PRICE, HOLMAN & STERN 400 SEVENTH STREET, NW WASHINGTON DC 20004-2201

PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 1807

DATE MAILED:

02/03/97

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 



## **Advisory Action**

Application No.

08/157,195

Applicant(s)

Examiner

PAUL B. TRAN

Group Art Unit 1807

HENCO ET AL.

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
a) expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.	rer 
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on <u>October 30, 1996,</u> (or within a period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	เกy
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>January 14, 1997</u> , has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	
<ul> <li>☑ The proposed amendment(s):</li> <li>☑ will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.</li> <li>☑ will not be entered because:</li> </ul>	، ۱، ، ، ،
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
☐ will not be entered because:	
☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying th issues for appeal.	ie
they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE:	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
rejections set forth in paragraph nos. 9, 11 and 13 of Paper No. 13.	
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	ı a
separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	
X The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in cond	dition
for allowance because: // <u>amendments to claims 75 and 93 are not sufficient to cure the deficiencies therein and because the rejection set for</u>	orth
in paragraph no. 10 is maintained for the reasons of record.	<u> </u>
☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raise	ed by
the Examiner in the final rejection.	,
For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	
Claims allowed: NONE	
Claims objected to: NONE	
Claims rejected: 67-108	
☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the Examin	
□ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	er.
□ Other · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ier.
	ier.
1 N 2 ANY 12 17 2	ier.
W. GARY JONES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	ier.